ı	T					1				S
14	13	12	11	9	00	7	6	4	ω	No E
112825	112820	112823	112621	112618	112626	112625	112620	112931	112624	SI. No Emp. No
112825 JEYASREE AJIT	112820 RAJARAJESWARI	112823 SWARNAMBIGAI RAJENDRAN	112621 SAROJINIJEBASEELI	112618 SUNITHAVIOLETRANI	112626 SHAKILA	112625 RUTHGRACE	112620 SEETHALAKSHMI	112931 MASANAM	112624 IATHA	Name
Sr Nursing Officer	Sr Nursing Officer	Sr Nursing Officer	Sr Nursing Officer	Designation						
ESICH – TIRUPUR	ESICH - TIRUPUR	ESICH - TIRUPUR	ESICH - TIRUNELVELI	ESICH - TIRUNELVELI	ESICH - TIRUNELVELI	ESICH - TIRUNELVELI	ESICH - TIRUNELVELI	ESIC MC&H - KK NAGAR	ESICH - TIRUNELVELI	Ordered place of posting
Employee completed mandatory tenure and did not provide option for choice station. Hence, recommended for transfer as per policy	Employee completed mandatory tenure and did not provide option for choice station. Hence, recommended for transfer as per policy	Employee completed mandatory tenure and did not provide option for choice station. Hence, recommended for transfer as per policy	Employee completed mandatory tenure and did not provide option for choice station. Hence, recommended for transfer as per policy	Employee completed mandatory tenure and did not provide option for choice station. Hence, recommended for transfer as per policy	Employee completed mandatory tenure and did not provide option for choice station. Hence, recommended for transfer as per policy	Employee completed mandatory tenure and did not provide option for choice station. Hence, recommended for transfer as per policy	Employee completed mandatory tenure and did not provide option for choice station. Hence, recommended for transfer as per policy	Employee completed mandatory tenure and did not provide option for choice station. Hence, recommended for transfer as per policy	Employee completed mandatory tenure and did not provide option for choice station. Hence, recommended for transfer as per policy	Transfer committee Recommendations
retain same station Aged mother with cardiac problem Aged father in law suffered with BP	retain same station suffered with cancer breast right (triple Negetive) spouse diagnosed with DCLD	Retain same station Spouse working in Dept of Atomic Energy Chennai father-in-law suffered from stroke	Request for cancellation Medical follow up for Angiogram, Spouse Neuro problem, Oldage mother	Request for cancellation Near to superannuation (30.6.2026), Spouse suffered DM Neuropathy, Oldage Mother	Request for cancellation underwent total thyroidectomy, Aged parents	Request for cancellation The health issue cited are near to superannuation(30.06.2026) suffered with a left lumbar disc problem hence not recommended.	Request for cancellation underwent PTCA with GERD	Retain Same station, Suffering with 3rd dgree utero vaginal prolapse and with incontinence of urine. spouse suffered cardiac illness widowed old aged mother School fee paid	Retain Same station dignosed with rheumatiod arthritis	Grievance of officer
The health issue cited are not covered as per MoHFW OM dated 10.12.2021, hence not recommended.	The health issue cited are not covered as per MoHFW OM dated 10.12.2021, hence not recommended.	The health issue cited are not covered as per MoHFW OM dated 10.12.2021, hence not recommended.	The health issue cited are not covered as per MoHFW OM dated 10.12.2021, hence not recommended.	The health issue cited are not covered as per MoHFW OM dated 10.12.2021, hence not recommended.	The health issue cited are not covered as per MoHFW OM dated 10.12.2021, hence not recommended.	The health issue cited are not covered as per MoHFW OM dated 10.12.2021, hence not recommended.	The health issue cited are not covered as per MoHFW OM dated 10.12.2021, hence not recommended.	Extension recommended upto 31.03.2025 on health grounds.	The health issue cited are not covered as per MoHFW OM dated 10.12.2021, hence not recommended.	Medical Officer Recommendation

(Dr Sme)

17 11281	16 11283	SI. No Emp. No
112819 MALLIGA	112830 PREMA	o Name
Sr Nursing Officer ESICH - TIRUPUR	Sr Nursing Officer ESICH - TIRUPUR	Designation
ESICH - TIRUPUR	ESICH - TIRUPUR	Ordered place of posting
Employee completed mandatory tenure and did not retain sam provide option for choice station. Hence, recommended 30.6.2026 for transfer as per policy	Retain same station, Employee completed mandatory tenure and did not spouse working in ICF chennai provide option for choice station. Hence, recommended aged and suffered father in law, mother as per MoHFW OM dated 10.12.2021, in law hence not recommended. Health issue	Ordered place of posting Transfer committee Recommendations
ne station, Near to retired on with Coronary Artery Disease	not spouse working in ICF chennal in law Health issue	Grievance of officer
The health issue cited are not covered as per MoHFW OM dated 10.12.2021, hence not recommended.	The health issue cited are not covered as per MoHFW OM dated 10.12.2021, hence not recommended.	Medical Officer Recommendation

Medical Officer (1966 Regional Office, ESIC Chennai

27	26	24	22	21	20	18	17	16	15	10	00	7	s,	SI. No
141024	112644	112713	112837	160582	140459	139702	112707	112709	112682	112697 RANI	139976	112683	112728	Emp. No
141024 SANTHI	112644 ANJALAI	112713 SEKAR	112837 KALAISELVI	160582 NAGARAJAN V	140459 LOGANATHAN	139702 MYDEEN PERUMAL	112707 SARAVANAKUMARAN	112709 КОТП	112682 SOMAIAH	7 RANI	139976 MURUGESWARI S	112683 MURUGAN	112728 RUDAYARAJ	Name
Nursing Orderly	JMLT	Pharmacist	Lab Technician	Medical Record Assistant	Nursing Orderly	Dresser	Radiographer	Laundry Supervisor	OTTechnician	Plaster Assistant	Medical Record Assistant	Plaster Technician	Nursing Orderly	Designation
ESICH - TIRUNELYELI	ESICH - TIRUPUR	ESICH - TIRUNELVELI	ESICH - TIRUNELVELI	ESICH - TIRUNELVELI	ESICH - TIRUNELVELI	ESIC MC&H- KK NAGAR	ESICH - TIRUNELVELI	ESICH - TIRUPUR	ESICH - TIRUPUR	ESICH - TIRUPUR	ESICH - TIRUPUR	ESICH - TIRUPUR		Ordered place of posting
Staff is distributed proportionately with respect to Sanction strength of respective units in order to maintain uniform percentage of in position. Hence, not recommended for transfer. Employee to be considered for transfer in next AGT	Employee completed mandatory tenure and did not provide option for choice station. Hence, recommended for transfer as per policy	Employee completed mandatory tenure and did not provide option for choice station. Hence, recommended for transfer as per policy.Request to retain is not considered due to administrative requirement	Employee completed mandatory tenure and did not provide option for choice station. Hence, recommended for transfer as per policy. Request to retain & choice station provided is not considered due to administrative requirement	Employee completed mandatory tenure and did not provide option for choice station. Hence, recommended for transfer as per policy. Request to retain is not considered due to administrative requirement	Staff is distributed proportionately with respect to Sanction strength of respective units in order to maintain uniform percentage of in position. Hence, not recommended for transfer. Employee to be considered for transfer in next AGT	Mandatory transfer-completed tenure, recommended for transfer to 2nd option given under Chioce station. Request to Retain not considered due to administrative requirement	Mandatory transfer-completed tenure, recommended for transfer to 4th option given under Chioce station. Options 1-3 not considered due to Administrative requirement	Mandatory transfer, Served longer tenure at one station and hence considered for next farthest place of posting as per policy. No choice station provided by employee	Employee completed mandatory tenure and did not provide option for choice station. Hence, recommended for transfer as per policy	Staff is distributed proportionately with respect to Sanction strength of respective units in order to maintain uniform percentage of in position. Hence, not recommended for transfer. Employee to be considered for transfer in next AGT	Employee completed mandatory tenure and did not provide option for choice station. Hence, recommended for transfer as per policy. Request to retain is not considered due to administrative requirement	Employee completed mandatory tenure and did not provide option for choice station. Hence, recommended for transfer as per policy	Tenure not completed	Transfer committee Recommendations
Retain same station In AGT 23 Nursing orderlies not transferred. Suffered with cervical spondylosis, 2 minor child Promotion Kept in abeyance	Retain same station spouse suffered chronic kidney disease	Retain Same station Senior phrmacy officer post not avilable in TVL Transfer against to MACP & DOPT guidelines Spouse suffered cancer, old age mother	retain same station suffered medical collateral ligament in knees Old age mother suffered with arthritis	Retain same station or till the academic year Father suffered parkinson's syndrom(Late) mother suffered Type II diabets	Retain same staion school fees paid for son Aged knee replaced mother Promotion kept in abeyance	Retain Same station 3. Minor tidds, Aged stroke affected father, Old age mother bedridden and psychiatric illness of diversed sister.	Retain upto 30.09.2024 undergoing treatment for left renal pelvic calculus with mild hydronephrosis	Retain Same station suffered from ligament tear left knee, wife suffered from several health issue	Request for cancellation spouse medical condition	Request for cancellation near to superannuation(31.10.2026) In view of the health condispouse age factor(72yrs). Recently declined promotion. the case can be considered. (Her name cancelled from AGT 23)	Request for cancellation suffered chronic kidney disease stage 3 spouse working in TN Government	Request for cancellation diagnosed with mitral valve prolapse	willing to Chennai Wife is suffered with Diabetes Old age mother	Grievance of officer
The health issue cited are not covered as per MoHFW OM dated 10.12.2021, hence not recommended.	Recommended for retention under spouse heath grounds.	The health issue cited are not covered as per MoHFW OM dated 10.12.2021, hence not recommended.	The health issue cited are not covered as per MoHFW OM dated 10.12.2021, hence not recommended.	The health issue cited are not covered as per MoHFW OM dated 10.12.2021, hence not recommended.	The health issue cited are not covered as per MoHFW OM dated 10.12.2021, hence not recommended.	Recommended for retention under parent's health grounds.	Recommended for retention upto 30.09.2024 on medical grounds	The health issue cited are not covered as per MoHFW OM dated 10.12.2021, hence not recommended.	The health issue cited are not covered as per MoHFW OM dated 10.12.2021, hence not recommended.	In view of the health condition of her spouse, the case can be considered.	The health issue cited are not covered as per MoHFW OM dated 10.12.2021, hence not recommended.	The health issue cited are not covered as per MoHFW OM dated 10.12.2021, hence not recommended.	The health issue cited are not covered as per MoHFW OM dated 10.12.2021, hence not recommended.	Medical Officer Recommendation

(you swa)

41	40	38	37	36	31	28	SI. No
112860	122654	169774	170163	112955	14082	160490 GOPI	SI. No Emp. No
112860 KARUPPASAMY	122654 CHANDRASHEKAR	169774 PARIMALA	170163 GAYATHRI	112955 HARIHARAN	140821 SARAVANAN	р доы	o Name
Radiographer	Optometrist	Cook	Cook	Radiographer	Nursing Orderly	Dental Technician	Designation
ESICH - TIRUPUR	ESICH - TIRUNELVELI	ESICH - TIRUPUR	ESICH - TIRUPUR	ESICH - TIRUNELVELI	ESICH - TIRUNELVELI	ESICH - TIRUPUR	Ordered place of posting
Mandatory transfer-completed tenure, Considered 1st option for transfer due to administrative requirement	Employee completed mandatory tenure and did not provide option for retain same station choice station. Hence, recommended for transfer as per policy spouse Pregnant wi	Transferred for Administrative/Functional Requirement	Transferred for Administrative/Functional Requirement	Employee completed mandatory tenure and did not provide option for choice station, Hence, recommended for transfer as per policy. Request to retain provided is not considered due to administrative requirement & other choice stations are not valid	Staff is distributed proportionately with respect to Sanction strength of Retain Same Station respective units in order to maintain uniform percentage of in position. Medical problems, Complaint pending with internal Hence, not recommended for transfer. Employee to be considered for complaint committee, one applicant of LAB Asst CAT transfer in next AGT	Mandatory transfer-completed tenure, Transferred to 2nd option given Retain same stations The health issue cited are not covered as per under Chioce station. 1st option was retaining same Place of posting which Aged mother suffered with diabetes, hypertension, MoHFW OM dated 10.12.2021, hence not is not considered due to Administrative requirement spouse undergone LSCS and having New born baby recommended.	Transfer committee Recommendations
Retain same staion Aged father suffered bipolar arthropasty for neck spouse suffering rhematoid arthritis Daughter studying	th twin baby	Retain same station aged father underwent heart surgery School fees paid	Retain same station Divorce case pending in family court children studying in KV school	retaion same station upto academic year 24-25 Old age father undergone cervical disc surgery school fees paid	As per the Medical board report dt. 16.05.2024 on. Medical problems, Complaint pending with internal submitted by the employee along with his for complaint committee, one applicant of LAB Asst CAT grievance, it is observed that the board has case Promotion Kept in abeyance recommended him as fit for work. Hence not recommended.	Retain same stations Aged mother suffered with diabetes, hypertension, spouse undergone LSCS and having New born baby	Grievance of officer
The health issue cited are not covered as per MoHFW OM dated 10.12.2021, hence not recommended.	Recommended for retention upto 31.03.2025 on spouse health ground	The health issue cited are not covered as per MoHFW OM dated 10.12.2021, hence not recommended.	The health issue cited are not covered as per MoHFW OM dated 10.12.2021, hence not recommended.	The health issue cited are not covered as per MoHFW OM dated 10.12.2021, hence not recommended.	As per the Medical board report dt. 16.05.2024 submitted by the employee along with his prievance, it is observed that the board has recommended him as fit for work. Hence not recommended him as fit for work.	The health issue cited are not covered as per , MoHFW OM dated 10.12.2021, hence not recommended.	Medical Officer Recommendation

Medical Officer (Appl Chennal)

Chennal